

January 31, 2005

Via UPS Next Day Air

The Honorable Vernon A. Williams Secretary Surface Transportation Board 1925 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20423-0001

ENTERED

Office of Proceedings

Part of **Public Record**

Re: AB-33 (Sub-No. 132X) - Union Pacific Railroad Company - Abandonment Exemption - In Rio Grande and Mineral Counties, Colorado

Dear Mr. Williams:

This letter constitutes UP's reply to the Motion of Concerned Citizens of Creede and Mineral County, CO ("Citizens") for leave to file a reply to the replies of UP and D&RGHF. The Motion includes what Citizens characterize as a "limited reply," which rambles on for 11 pages.

Citizens' Motion should be denied and its proffered reply to the replies of UP and D&RGHF should be rejected as a blatant violation of the Board's rules of practice.

The Board's Rules of Practice unequivocally prohibit Citizens' Reply:

"Reply to a Reply. A reply to a reply is not permitted." 49 C.F.R. 1104.13(c)

Citizens only excuse for filing its prohibited "reply to a reply" is that they "are seeing for the first time the arguments of the Foundation and UP against their [Citizens] Petition to Reopen." (Citizens Motion, p. 1). That sort of justification can be made for any reply to a reply and, if it were accepted, STB proceedings would never end. Further, it strains credibility for Citizens to suggest that it couldn't anticipate that UP and DRGHF would challenge the propriety of reopening of an OFA sale that the Board approved over 5 ½ years ago, and which closed over 4 ½ years ago. All they had to do is look at the pleadings UP and D&RGHF filed in January 2004, or the Board's June 22, 2004 decision, to see that this would be an issue. The conclusion is inescapable that Citizens intended, from the time they filed their Petition to Reopen, to file an unauthorized reply to the UP and D&RGHF replies. The Board should not permit this kind of gamesmanship.

> Robert T. Opal General Commerce Counsel

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD 1400 Douglas St., Stop 1580, Omaha, NE 68179-1580 ph. (402) 544-3072 fx. (402) 501-0132 rtopal@up.com As we have previously pointed out, Citizens are actually seeking the forced abandonment of the Creede Line under the guise of challenging the OFA, relief that can only be obtained through an adverse abandonment application (UP December 16, 2004 Reply, pp. 6-10). If Citizens desired to assure themselves of the right to file the "last word" through a rebuttal, they should have filed a formal abandonment application, see, e.g., Docket No. AB-468 (Sub-No. 5X), <u>Paducah & Louisville Ry.</u>, Inc. – Abandonment Exemption, (served June 20, 2003), pp. 1-2 and cases cited.

An additional ten (10) copies of this letter are enclosed for the Board's files.

Very truly yours,

Robert T. Opal

General Commerce Counsel Direct dial: 402/544-3072

Fax: 402/501-0132

cc: w/o enclosures - Persons listed in Certificate of Service

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have this date served a copy of the foregoing document upon the persons shown below. Service was made U.S. mail, postage prepaid, except as shown below.

Ronald M. Johnson Heidi Ginst Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer & Feld, L.L.P. 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 (via UPS Next Day Air)

Thomas McFarland 208 South LaSalle Suite 1890 Chicago, IL 60604-1112

Karl Morrell Ball Janik, L.L.P. 1455 F Street, N.W. Suite 225 Washington, D.C. 20005

John D. Heffner, PLLC 1920 N Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036

Donald Shank Denver & Rio Grande Railway Historical Foundation 20 North Broadway Monte Vista, CO 81144

Martin O'Grady Colorado Dept. of Public Health & Environment 4300 Cherry Drive South Denver, CO 80022-1530

George M. Allen 206 Society Drive Suite A Telluride, CO 81435

Dated at Omaha, Nebraska, this 31st day of January 2005.

Robert T. Opal